Jīva-tattva

The definition of the term "ātmā"

by Svāmī Sadānanda dāsa

Translation into English and annotations as footnotes: Katrin Stamm

© Kid Samuelsson 2006

- 1) The ātmā is nitya, i.e. eternal, considering the past and the future.
- 2) The $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is "sat", existing, in a secondary sense, as his existence depends on the sat-Being¹ of $Bhagav\bar{a}n^2$.
- 3) The $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ (was) eternally free or in bondage, but also the eternally enslaved $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is only eternally in bondage from the perspective of the past, i.e. $an\bar{a}di-baddha^3$. He can become mukta or liberated when he turns to $Bhagav\bar{a}n$.
- 4) According to the school of $Sankara^4$ the coverings of the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ in bondage are $mithy\bar{a}$, i.e. neither real nor unreal, consequently an illusion.
- 5) Following the $\dot{sastram}$ -s⁵ the coverings of the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ in bondage are a-sat, i.e. not eternal, but real. Only the identification of the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ with his coverings consists of $avidy\bar{a}$, i.e. nescience.

The conviction of the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, who has identified with his coverings, is the result of a defect of the $buddhi^6$.

6) If the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ were essentially and eternally free, also when residing within his coverings, i.e. if his coverings were not really existing but only a projection $(adhy\bar{a}sa)$ on the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ due to $avidy\bar{a}^7$, then the emanation, sustenance and dissolution of the worlds would be unreal, too. Consequently the $avat\bar{a}ra-l\bar{\iota}l\bar{a}-s^8$ would be illusory as well. Because, if the substance of $Prak_rti^9$ factually didn't exist, then $Bhagav\bar{a}n$ couldn't become $avatirna^{10}$ in a world as an $avat\bar{a}ra$, nor as

¹ Existence.

² God in His highest form as eternal, supreme transcendental Personality, invested with the fullness of all His spiritual Potencies.

³ Bound since time without beginning.

⁴ Māyāvāda-doctrine.

⁵ "That, which instructs and keeps one on the right path by dint of regulations", i.e. the Veda or the Revelation of God's Word.

⁶ Intellect.

Nescience.

⁸ Avatāra: "Coming down to the world of Māyā (five elements), from the realm of non-Māyā." Avatāra-līlā: The transcendental Play of God on Earth, performed by a full or a partial manifestation of Himself.

⁹ The substance of the worlds.

¹⁰ Become manifest.

 $Param\bar{a}tm\bar{a}^{11}$ dwell in a universe or be indirectly present nearby the individual $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ in bondage.

Why does the ātmā identify with his coverings?

He does so, because he is on the one hand robbed of his true self-consciousness by $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, acting as $avidy\bar{a}^{12}$, and on the other hand supplied with coverings by $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, acting as provider of matter, which he can identify with instead. As the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is just as $svatantra^{13}$ as $Bhagav\bar{a}n$ — only with the difference, that $Bhagav\bar{a}n$ can perform what He wants to, whereas the $j\bar{i}va-\bar{a}tm\bar{a}^{14}$ by his own power can only nourish the will to perform, but is in need of $Bhagav\bar{a}n$'s $\acute{s}akti^{15}$ to fulfill his desire — he is in this respect, but only in this respect, $nitya\ mukta$, eternally free.

Freedom and bondage are ontologically speaking just expressions, which belong to the field of ignorance $(aj\tilde{n}\bar{a}nam)$.

1) In Caitanya-Caritāmrţa¹⁶ it says:

Jīver svarūp hay Kṛṣṇer nitya dās Kṛṣṇer taṭastha-śakti bhedābhed prakāś

The $j\bar{\imath}v\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$'s $svar\bar{\imath}pa$ is: $K_r s\bar{\imath}na$'s eternal $d\bar{a}sa^{18}$, i.e. the true nature $(svar\bar{\imath}pa)$ of the $j\bar{\imath}v\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is, to be $K_r s\bar{\imath}na$'s eternal servant. This implies: Although the $j\bar{\imath}v\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is baddha, i.e. has no idea of his true identity, but considers himself to be something else than himself, he still remains – regardless of not knowing it – an eternal $d\bar{a}sa$ of $K_r s\bar{\imath}na$.

To be a $d\bar{a}sa$ is an inalienable characteristic – i.e. to belong non-detachably to $K_r s na$ and be ever subordinate to Him. Only in this sense one can say, that the $j\bar{v}atm\bar{a}$ is "mukta" 19, although he doesn't realise it.

2) That the *jīvātmā* doesn't have to be really and truly *mukta*, but can be *baddha* as well, follows from the fact, that the *jīvātmā* is a *prakāśa* of *Kṛṣṇa's taṭasthā*-

¹¹ The aspect of the Lord, facing the world, the Oversoul (= *Paramātmā*) who a) enters each universe to sustain it (2. *Mahāviṣnu*), and b) dwells in close proximity to every *ātmā* as his "Inner Guide" or *Antaryāmī*, to sustain his coverings and guarantee that the *ātmā* meets the reactions of his individual karma (3. *Mahāviṣnu*).

¹² Avaraṇātmikā-māyā, i.e. the power of māyā, that veils the consciousness of the ātmā, that resides within his gross and subtle covering.

¹³ Endowed with free will.

¹⁴ The $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ within the coverings or the embodied $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, who gives life (jīvayati) to the coverings.

¹⁵ Divine Potency.

¹⁶ C.C.II.20,101/108 (101 according to the edition of Rādhā Govinda Nāth and 108 according to the edition of Gauḍīya Maṭh). One of the biographies of Śrī Kṛṣṇa-Caitanya, a full manifestation of *Bhagavān*, who appeared on Earth in the years 1486-1533 in India.

¹⁷ Bhagavān in His Fullness.

¹⁸ Servant.

¹⁹ Free.

 $\acute{s}akti^{20}$, that, despite of belonging to $K_r sna$, is different and not different from Him at the same time ($bhed\bar{a}bheda$).

 $Prak\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ denotes something that is self-luminous and enlightening. Therefore the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ cannot become $prak\bar{a}\acute{s}ya$ by means of the $antahkaranam^{21}$, i.e. the antahkaranam cannot make him be seen or known.

Only when the $sa\dot{m}vit$ - $\dot{s}akti^{22}$ enables the $j\bar{i}v\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ to know himself and he stops to identify himself with the $anta\dot{h}kara\dot{n}am$, then the defect of the buddhi ceases, that had made the $anta\dot{h}kara\dot{n}am$ mistake itself for the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, because the power of $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, working as $avidy\bar{a}$, due to which the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ had identified with the coverings, has terminated.

"If you read or hear in any place, that *bhakti* or *premabhakti* is 'awakened', you always have to keep in mind that the *bhakti-śakti* is 'viṣṇu' in exactly the same way as Viṣṇu Himself. She is always and everywhere present, but becomes discernible only by virtue of the contact [...] [with] *bhaktas*."

"'To become revealed' does not mean, that bhakti was inherent in the heart and that the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ possessed dormant bhakti out of himself. [...] Bhakti is God's power, not the power of the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. [...] Bhakti as a discipline causes bhakti to manifest as $sth\bar{a}yi$ - $bh\bar{a}va$ in the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$; please not out of the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. The sun rises in the sky, but not out of the sky."

(From the Corrections to "The Indian Love of God")

²⁰ "The Power on the border-line", oscillating between the centripetal Power, attracting the ātmā towards God (ākarṣaṇa-śakti), and the centrifugal Power (vikarṣaṇa-śakti), hurling the ātmā away from Him.

²¹ The "inner sense" or psychic organ, containing the purely receptive consciousness or the ability to perceive (*cetas*), the mind or willingness to perceive (*manas*), the intellect or ability to discern the perceived (*buddhi*) and the subtle material of $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, which provides the perceiving subject with a false and transient personality and is therefore called *Ahanikāra*, the "I-maker".

maker".

22 Bhakti or the Power of God, through which He Himself knows Himself and makes Himself known to others.